

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated May 21, 2003.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings. The feature has been canceled from the claim in order to avoid this objection.

Further, the Examiner has rejected claim 2 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. Claims 1-2 have been canceled and replaced with new claim 3 in order to overcome this rejection.

Moreover, the Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Philips (US 5,335,472) in view of Harris (US 3,333,379). However, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn in light of the following reasons.

Philips, the first reference cited by the Examiner, discloses a concrete wall for buildings and method of forming such concrete walls utilizing a plurality of prefabricated modules which may be constructed offsite and transported to the construction site. Nevertheless, this reference fails to disclose a structure of a wall partition which comprises a wall surface framework and a plurality of connecting elements, wherein said wall surface framework comprises two corresponding metallic net-like plates having a plurality of holes sized for allowing concrete material to adhere onto said net-like plates which inherently not allow cement to pass through said holes and forming a wall thickness, said plates are welded together, said plates have a wave-like shape and have reinforcing sides on bending edges of said plates for increasing strength of said framework, said connecting elements having engaging slots for engagement with upper and lower ends of said framework, whereby two sides of said wall surface framework are adhered with cement of a thickness and a wall is formed after said cement dries up. Hence, this reference can

be clearly distinguished from the present invention.

Harris, the second reference cited by the Examiner, discloses a resilient furring channel construction for mounting planar panels such as plywood, gypsum panels, or the like on base members, such as studs or joists. Similarly, as the previous cited reference, the Harris reference still fails to teach or suggest a structure of a wall partition comprising: a wall surface framework and a plurality of connecting elements, wherein said wall surface framework comprises two corresponding metallic net-like plates having a plurality of holes sized for allowing concrete material to adhere onto said net-like plates which inherently not allow cement to pass through said holes and forming a wall thickness, said plates are welded together, said plates have a wave-like shape and have reinforcing sides on bending edges of said plates for increasing strength of said framework, said connecting elements having engaging slots for engagement with upper and lower ends of said framework, whereby two sides of said wall surface framework are adhered with cement of a thickness and a wall is formed after said cement dries up. Consequently, this reference is in no way similar to the present invention.

Accordingly, even if the disclosures of the cited references are combined together, the combined disclosure still fails to teach each and every element of the claimed invention and so the subject matter sought to be patented as a whole would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KUO CHING-LIANG
Signature

KUO Ching-Liang

September 18, 2003